

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION Nos. 250, 251, 252
AND 253 of 2000

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE D.C.SRIVASTAVA

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

MANISH BHAVANIPRASAD AGARWAL

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

MR UTPAL M PANCHAL for Petitioner
MR MA BUKHARI, APP for Respondent No. 1
MR SAURIN A SHAH for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE D.C.SRIVASTAVA

Date of decision: 10/10/2000

COMMON ORAL JUDGEMENT

Shri Utpal M Panchal, learned counsel for the revisionist, Shri MA Bukhari, learned APP and Shri Saurin Shah have been heard.

After completion of arguments, Shri Utpal M Panchal, learned counsel for the revisionist in all these revisions prays that, he may be permitted to withdraw these revisions. Permission is granted. All the four revisions are dismissed as withdrawn.

October 10, 2000. (D.C. Srivastava, J.)
/sakka